

**COMHAIRLE CONTAE FHINE GALL  
FINGAL COUNTY COUNCIL**

**Minutes of Special Meeting of County Council held on 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2009 in the  
Council Chamber, County Hall at 3.45 p.m.**

**PRESENT**

**Councillors**

Byrne, Ciaran  
Butler, Darragh  
Coppinger, Ruth  
Coyle, Peter  
Daly, Clare  
Devitt, Anne  
Dennison, Kieran  
Farrell, Alan  
Farrell, Ken  
Hamill, Peggy  
Kelleher, Tom

**Councillors**

Loftus, Eithne  
Maher, Joan  
McKeon, May  
McGuinness, David  
McGuire, Gerry  
Murray, Mags  
O'Brien, Eoghan  
O'Callaghan, Cian  
O'Connor, David  
O'Donovan, Michael  
O'Leary, Tom  
Waine, Matthew

Absent: Councillor P. Nulty

The Mayor, Councillor Ciaran Byrne, presided.

**OFFICIALS PRESENT**

David O'Connor, County Manager, Peter Caulfield, Senan Turnbull, Directors of Services, Marguerite Murphy, County Architect, Sean Ó Faircheallaigh, Peter Byrne, Senior Planners, Noeleen McHugh, Administrative Officer, Nicholas O'Kane, Hazel Craigie, Senior Executive Planners, Gerry Clabby, Heritage Officer, Lar Spain, Peter O'Reilly, Senior Engineers, Brendan Colgan, Senior Executive Engineer, Florence White, Communications Officer, Pat Lonergan, Senior Staff Officer, Christine Bedford, Catherine Fitzgerald, Staff Officers, Niall McCoitir, Asst. Staff Officer, Eileen McCulloch, Linda Fanning, Clerical Officers

**F/596/09**

**FINGAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2011-2017  
PRELIMINARY CONSULTATION – MANAGER'S REPORT**

A presentation was made by the Manager.

**F/597/09**

**FINGAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2011-2017**

At the outset, the Mayor Ciaran Byrne, indicated to members that in order to expedite the business, and in light of the Manager's favourable recommendations on Motion Nos. 2, 4, 5, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 41, 46, 48, 52, 56, and 59 it was his intention to deal with these Motions at this stage.

After that stage, Motions that had a favourable recommendation subject to changes/amendments would be dealt with, such Motions were Motion Nos. 6, 8, 13, 23, 26, 28, 33, 38, 43, 44, 49, 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 64, 65.

In the final stage of the process the Motions that had an unfavourable recommendation from the Manager will be dealt with, these were Motion Nos. 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 24, 25, 29, 36, 39, 40, 42, 45, 47, 50, 54, 55, 58, 60 and 61.

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne and seconded by Councillor P. Hamill that Motions Nos. 2, 4, 5, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 41, 46, 48, 52, 56, 59 and Motion Nos. 6, 8, 13, 23, 26, 28, 33, 38, 43, 44, 49, 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 64, 65 be now moved and reports circulated.

**F/598/09**

**MOTION NO. 2**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor C. Byrne:

“Amend 1.2 (the last line) – insert at end of sentence – *“in tandem with new development”.*”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Amend Section 1.2 *“To provide for the future well being of the residents of the County by:*

- *promoting the economic activity and increasing employment opportunities*
- *protecting and improving the quality of the built and natural environments*
- *ensuring the provision of necessary infrastructure and community facilities **in tandem with new development.***”

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/599/09**

**MOTION NO. 4**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor A. Devitt:

“Fingal County Council instructs the Manager to review development boundaries and zoning boundaries and adjust them to follow field boundaries and correct anomalies where such adjustment would not constitute a material change to the overall zoning.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Development and zoning boundaries to be reviewed in the preparation of the Draft Plan and adjusted to follow field boundaries and correct anomalies where such adjustments would not constitute a change to the overall zoning.”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/600/09**

**MOTION NO. 5**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor M. Waine:

“Insert new 2.8 –

Develop an enhanced identity and link with Fingal for the broader communities of Santry, Meakstown/Charlestown and Lanesborough and define them beyond a South Fingal Fringe settlement”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 2 as 2.8- *“Develop an enhanced identity and link with Fingal for the broader communities of Santry, Meakstown/Charlestown and Lanesborough and define them beyond a South Fingal Fringe settlement”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/601/09**

**MOTION NO. 17**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor T. O'Leary:

"Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include policies and objectives to encourage and promote the regeneration of existing underutilized harbours, beaches and adjacent areas within Fingal as tourist attractions and public amenities."

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

"No Objection.

**Recommendation:**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.18 - *"Encourage and promote the regeneration of existing underutilised harbours, beaches and adjacent areas within Fingal as tourist attractions and public amenities".*"

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/602/09**

**MOTION NO. 18**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

"To promote, encourage and facilitate the development of Community Based Broadcast Media Services in Fingal".

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

"No Objection.

**Recommendation:**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.19 - *"Promote, encourage and facilitate the development of Community Based Broadcast Media Services in the County".*"

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/603/09**

**MOTION NO. 19**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor D. O'Connor:

“To promote, encourage and facilitate the development of Community Based Broadcast Media training in Fingal”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.20 - *“Promote, encourage and facilitate the development of Community Based Broadcast Media training in the County”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/604/09**

**MOTION NO. 20**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor D. O’Connor:

“To promote, encourage, and facilitate the Development of Commercial Broadcast Media and Film Production in Fingal”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.21- *“Promote, encourage, and facilitate the Development of Commercial Broadcast Media and Film Production in the County”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/605/09**

**MOTION NO. 21**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

“To promote encourage and facilitate the development of a manufacturing unit(s) of Wind Turbines in Fingal”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.22 -*“Promote encourage and facilitate the development of a manufacturing unit(s) of Wind Turbines in the County”.*”

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**. Councillor Devitt requested that the minutes reflect that she was opposed to the Manager's Recommendation.

**F/606/09**

**MOTION NO. 22**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor M. Waine:

"4.4 Delete word "continued".

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

"No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Amend Section 4.4 to read in accordance with the motion:- "*Promote the co-ordinated, sustainable and well-planned physical and economic development of Dublin Airport in accordance with the Dublin Airport Local Area Plan*". "

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/607/09**

**MOTION NO. 27**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor K. Dennison:

"Fingal County Council resolves that the Manager identify key strategic development sites with the Metro (North) Economic Corridor area and provide suitable policies to initiate their development in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017".

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

"No Objection.

**Recommendation:**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.24 in accordance with this motion:-

"*Identify key strategic development sites within the Metro North Economic Corridor area and provide suitable policies to initiate their development in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017*". "

The Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/608/09**

**MOTION NO. 30**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Coyle, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

“Review and strengthen the current ‘Urban Centre Strategy’ policy.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 5 as 5.9 in accordance with the motion:

*“Review and strengthen the current ‘Urban Centre Strategy’ policy”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/609/09**

**MOTION NO. 31**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor D. O’Connor:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 provide for the consolidation of existing ‘MC’ major town centre zone areas having regard to the road structure within the vicinity”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 5 as 5.10 in accordance with the motion:

*“Provide for the consolidation of existing ‘MC’ major town centre zone areas having regard to the road structure within the vicinity”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/610/09**

**MOTION NO. 32**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor T. O’Leary:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011 – 2017 include specific policies and objectives to actively promote the development of commercial recreational uses adjoining the seafront of coastal towns and villages in the County to provide for restaurants, cafes and al fresco dining.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 5 as 5.11 in accordance with the motion:

*“Actively promote the development of commercial recreational uses adjoining the seafront of coastal towns and villages in the County to provide for restaurants, cafes and al fresco dining”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/611/09**

**MOTION NO. 34**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor D. O’Connor:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include a specific objective to carry out a study of all major towns with a view to identifying gateway buildings and development at the entrance to the town.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 5 as 5.13 in accordance with the motion:

*“Carry out a study of all major towns with a view to identifying gateway buildings and development at the entrance to the town”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/612/09**

**MOTION NO. 35**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor A. Devitt:

Change Section 6.3 - Insert at end *“paying particular attention to acceptable room sizes and the provision of sufficient parking”*.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

### **Recommendation**

Amend Section 6.3 in accordance with the motion:

*“Develop an appropriate mix of house types and different densities having regard to public transport infrastructure and Government guidelines on sustainable residential development **paying particular attention to acceptable room sizes and the provision of sufficient parking**”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/613/09**

### **MOTION NO. 37**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor M. Waine:

“Insert new Section 6.8:

‘Ensure that management companies only operate for apartments and duplexes in accordance with Council policy and facilitate the early taking-in-charge of any common areas requested by residents at the earliest possible opportunity’.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

### **Recommendation**

Add the following new policy to Section 6 as 6.7 in accordance with the motion:

*“Ensure that management companies only operate for apartments and duplexes in accordance with Council policy and facilitate the early taking-in-charge of any common areas requested by residents at the earliest possible opportunity”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/614/09**

**MOTION NO. 41**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, on behalf of Councillor A. Farrell, and seconded by Councillor T. O'Leary:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Manager examine existing green belt zoned areas in the County and identify and amend areas of inappropriate zoning given the pattern and form of development in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 7 as 7.12 in accordance with the motion:

*“Examine existing green belt zoned areas in the County and identify and amend areas of inappropriate zoning given the pattern and form of development in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**. Councillor Daly requested that the minutes reflect that she was opposed to the Manager’s Recommendation.

**F/615/09**

**MOTION NO. 46**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor K. Dennison:

“To promote gender equality in the allocation of financial resources for community, sports and recreation facilities and events”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 8 as 8.9 in accordance with the motion:

*“Promote gender equality in the allocation of financial resources for community, sports and recreation facilities and events”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/616/09**

**MOTION NO. 48**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly seconded by Councillor R. Coppinger:

“Section 9.2 after “open spaces” insert “allotments”.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Amend Section 9.2 in accordance with the motion:

*“Develop a Green Infrastructure Strategy which will integrate the implementation of policy and objectives under a number of headings including natural heritage, parks and open spaces, **allotments**, built heritage and archaeology and water management, through the development of a strategic approach to green space planning in the County.”*

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/617/09**

**MOTION NO. 52**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Coyle, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

“Relating to Section 11.6 in the Manager’s Recommendations add:  
“*taking into account the recommendations of the FEMFRAM report*”.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Amend Section 11.6 in accordance with the motion:

*“Develop a long term strategy of identifying and managing flood risk in an integrated and sustainable manner **taking into account the recommendations of the FEMFRAMS report**”.*

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/618/09**

**MOTION NO. 56**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor J. Maher:

“To promote, encourage and facilitate the development of energy producing geothermal units in Fingal, subject to EIA and the Habitats Directive.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 11 as 11.15 in accordance with the motion:

*“Promote, encourage and facilitate the development of Energy producing geothermal units in Fingal, subject to EIA and the Habitats Directive”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/619/09**

**MOTION NO. 59**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor K. Dennison:

“That the Manager ensures as part of the Development Plan that traffic calming measures are built into all new estates at the planning and construction phases.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 12 as 12.22 in accordance with the motion:

*“That the Manager ensures as part of the Development Plan that traffic calming measures are built into all new estates at the planning and construction phases”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/620/09**

After that stage, it was agreed to take the following Motion Nos. 6, 8, 13, 23, 26, 28, 33, 38, 43, 44, 49, 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 64, 65.

**F/621/09**

**MOTION NO. 6**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor M. Waine:

“Amend Section 2.17 –

Reword “Safeguard the natural and archaeological heritage of the Bremore area, through a Local Area Plan which would prioritise transport infrastructure and minimizing the impact of landside activities on nearby existing urban communities in the event of a new deep water port being developed in the general vicinity”.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The current Fingal Development Plan 2005-2011 includes the following local objective:  
*To facilitate and encourage the development of a new deep water port at Bremore in accordance with a Local Area Plan.*

To date no decision has been made by Government with regard to the development or location of a new port in the general vicinity of Bremore. Furthermore the recent Indecon report *Dublin Port National Development Plan Study* (July 2009), while advising that nothing should be done at a policy level to block either the proposed expansion of Dublin Port or the proposed development at Bremore at this stage, gives no definitive guidance in that regard.

Whereas there is no objection in principle to the motion, it should be noted that the development of a port could bring substantial benefits in terms of employment opportunities to nearby existing urban communities. It is considered that, whereas core port activities would obviously be located around the port itself, ancillary activities should where possible be located adjacent to existing urban areas where employment opportunities can be created for local people, thereby consolidating existing urban settlements and supporting the creation and maintenance of sustainable communities. It is recommended that the motion be reworded to reflect that fact, and that it be **added to** rather than replacing the existing point 2.17. The revised point 2.17 would read as follows:

*Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with associated landside activities within existing urban development areas. Safeguard the natural and archaeological heritage of the Bremore area, through a Local Area Plan which would prioritize transport infrastructure, minimize any negative impact of landside activities on nearby existing urban communities, and maximize the*

*economic benefits to such communities, in the event of a new deep water port being developed in the general vicinity”*

### **Recommendation**

Amend Section 2.18 to read:

*“Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with associated landside activities within existing urban development areas. Safeguard the natural and archaeological heritage of the Bremore area, through a Local Area Plan which would prioritize transport infrastructure, minimize any negative impact of landside activities on nearby existing urban communities, and maximize the economic benefits to such communities, in the event of a new deep water port being developed in the general vicinity”.*”

Following discussion to which Councillors Daly, Devitt, McKeon and Byrne contributed the Manager’s Report was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 17 (Seventeen)  
Against: 3 (Three)  
Abstain: 0 (Zero)

For: Councillors Butler, Byrne, Coyle, Dennison, Devitt, Hamill, Kelleher, Loftus, Maher, McGuinness, McKeon, Murray, O’Brien, O’Callaghan, O’Connor, O’Donovan and O’Leary.

Against: Councillors Coppinger, Daly and Waine.

The Mayor declared the Manager’s Recommendation **PASSED**.

**F/622/09**

### **MOTION NO. 8**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

*“To ensure that there is adequate funding available for the provision of Schools, Recreational facilities, Roads, Waste Water Treatment facilities and Emergency Services, commensurate with the number of Housing units proposed for construction on lands zoned for residential development, prior to the adoption of Local Area Plans, or the granting of planning permission or adoption of objectives permitting residential development, on such zoned lands.”*

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No objection subject to revision, as follows:

*“To ensure the timely provision of Schools, Recreational facilities, Roads, Waste Water Treatment facilities and Emergency Services, commensurate with the number of housing units proposed for construction on lands zoned for residential development.”*

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 2 as 2.19 in accordance with the motion:-

*“To ensure the timely provision of Schools, Recreational facilities, Roads, Waste Water Treatment facilities and Emergency Services, commensurate with the number of housing units proposed for construction on lands zoned for residential development”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/623/09**

**MOTION NO. 13**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor T. O’Leary:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the written statement of the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 provide for the development of a Model Carbon Neutral Eco Village that will provide for a mix of uses that will complement and enhance the village, utilize a sustainable layout and house types and include low and zero carbon technologies.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“There is no objection to this motion subject to the proviso that such a Model Carbon Neutral Eco Village be located so as to adjoin an existing Rural Village within Fingal, that the development should comply with the provisions of the *Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (Cities, Towns and Villages)*, and that facilities should be provided within this proposed Eco Village in a way which accords with the standard form of sequential test so as to decide their need and location.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 3 as 3.11 in accordance with the motion:

*“Provide for the development of a Model Carbon Neutral Eco Village that will provide for a mix of uses that will complement and enhance the village, utilize a sustainable layout and house types and include low and zero carbon technologies, subject to the proviso that such a Model Carbon Neutral Eco Village be located so as to adjoin an existing Rural Village within Fingal, that the development should comply with the provisions of the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (Cities, Towns and Villages), and that facilities should be*

*provided within this proposed Eco Village in accordance with the standard form of sequential test so as to decide their need and location”. “*

Following discussion to which Councillors McKeon, Kelleher, Maher, O'Donovan, Coyle and O'Callaghan contributed the Manager's Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/624/09**

**MOTION NO. 23**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor J. Maher:

“Reword Section 4.7

*“Protect the communities in the vicinity of Bremore to ensure that any deep water port would be constructed in a manner which would minimize the impact of traffic and associated landside activities on the surrounding areas”.*”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The current Fingal Development Plan 2005-2011 includes the following local objective: *To facilitate and encourage the development of a new deep water port at Bremore in accordance with a Local Area Plan.*

To date no decision has been made by Government with regard to the development or location of a new port in the general vicinity of Bremore. Furthermore the recent Indecon report *Dublin Port National Development Plan Study* (July 2009), while advising that nothing should be done at a policy level to block either the proposed expansion of Dublin Port or the proposed development at Bremore at this stage, gives no definitive guidance in that regard.

Whereas there is no objection in principle to the motion, it should be noted that the development of a port could bring substantial benefits in terms of employment opportunities to nearby existing urban communities. It is considered that, whereas core port activities would obviously be located around the port itself, ancillary activities should where possible be located adjacent to existing urban areas where employment opportunities can be created for local people, thereby consolidating existing urban settlements and supporting the creation and maintenance of sustainable communities. It is recommended that the motion be reworded to reflect that fact, and that it be **added to** rather than replacing the existing point 4.7. The revised point 4.7 would read as follows:

*Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with associated landside activities within existing urban development areas. Protect the communities in the vicinity of Bremore by ensuring that any deep water port will be constructed in a manner which would minimize any negative impacts of traffic and associated landside activities on the surrounding areas, while maximizing the economic benefit to surrounding communities*

## **Recommendation**

Amend Section 4.7 in accordance with the motion:-

*“Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with associated landside activities within existing urban development areas. Protect the communities in the vicinity of Bremore by ensuring that any deep water port will be constructed in a manner which would minimize any negative impacts of traffic and associated landside activities on the surrounding areas, while maximizing the economic benefit to surrounding communities.”*”

The Manager’s Report was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 17 (Seventeen)  
Against: 3 (Three)  
Abstain: 0 (Zero)

For: Councillors Butler, Byrne, Coyle, Dennison, Devitt, Hamill, Kelleher, Loftus, Maher, McGuinness, McKeon, Murray, O’Brien, O’Callaghan, O’Connor, O’Donovan and O’ Leary.

Against: Councillors Coppinger, Daly and Waine.

The Mayor declared the Manager’s Recommendation **PASSED**.

**F/625/09**

### **MOTION NO. 26**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor T. O’Leary:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include provision for a strategic National Food and Produce Centre within Fingal including relevant policies and objectives.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is recognised that Fingal is ideally placed to provide for a food and produce centre at a sustainable strategic location given its long tradition of food production, its proximity to Dublin Airport and its easy access to Dublin Port. There is however no justification for including the word ‘National’ in the description of such a facility. It is recommended that the word ‘National’ be excluded from the motion.

## **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.23:-

*“Include provision for a strategic Food and Produce Centre within Fingal including relevant policies and objectives”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/626/09**

**MOTION NO. 28**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor E. Loftus:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include the following strategic objective 'to provide employment uses along strategic transportation corridors within the county'.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is recognized that there is a need to provide for employment uses along identified economic corridors. It is recommended that the words *‘having full regard to NRA policy concerning development along motorways and national and regional roads’* be added to the motion.

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 4 as 4.25:-

*“Provide employment uses along strategic transportation corridors within the county having full regard to NRA policy concerning development along motorways and national and regional roads”.*”

Following discussion to which Councillor Devitt contributed it was **AGREED** to amend the Manager’s Recommendation by the deletion of the word ‘full’.

The Revised Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/627/09**

**MOTION NO. 33**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor E. Loftus:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include a specific planning framework including policies and objectives to address the future development of suburban commuter stations along the northern (Dublin-Belfast) and western (Kildare) lines. “

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is recommended that an objective be included in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 to support the future development of suburban commuter stations along the northern (Dublin-Belfast) and western (Kildare) lines.

### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 5 as 5.12:-

*“To support the future development of suburban commuter stations along the northern (Dublin-Belfast) and western (Kildare) lines.”*

Following discussion to which Councillors Daly and Devitt contributed it was **AGREED** to amend the Manager’s Recommendation by the addition of the word ‘existing’ before the words ‘*suburban commuter stations*’.

The Revised Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/628/09**

### **MOTION NO. 38**

It was proposed by Councillor C. O’Callaghan, seconded by Councillor C. Byrne:

“Amend Item 6.2 so that it now reads as:

Ensure the provision of community services and resources, including open space, schools, childcare facilities, community facilities and local retail facilities, required for the creation of sustainable communities before the provision of residential units.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is not feasible or practicable to provide community services and resources, including open space, schools, childcare facilities, community facilities and local retail facilities prior to the provision of residential units. The provision of such services and resources could however be required to be provided in phases with the provision of residential units. There is no objection to the rewording of Policy 6.2 to reflect that possibility as follows:

*“Ensure the timely provision of the community services and resources, including open space, schools, childcare facilities, community facilities and local retail facilities, required for the creation of sustainable communities, where feasible on a phased basis in tandem with the provision of residential units”.*

### **Recommendation**

Amend Section 6.2 to read:

*“Ensure the timely provision of the community services and resources, including open space, schools, childcare facilities, community facilities and local retail facilities, required for the creation of sustainable communities, where feasible on a phased basis in tandem with the provision of residential units”.*”

Following discussion to which Councillors O’Callaghan, Coppinger and Devitt contributed it was **AGREED** to amend the Manager’s Recommendation by the deletion of the words “where feasible”.

The Revised Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/629/09**

**MOTION NO. 43**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor J. Maher:

*“To consolidate and protect the Greenbelts along the coast of Fingal from Howth to Balbriggan (inter alia separating the coastal towns of Fingal)”.*

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

*“The areas along the coast other than those which are occupied by existing coastal towns and villages are currently zoned High Amenity or Greenbelt. These zonings serve to provide green areas between the established settlements, and to separate and prevent the coalescing of these settlements. The Council is currently studying the extent of these zonings with regard to making minor adjustments in order to improve their efficacy. It is considered that the motion should be reworded to reflect this situation as follows:-*

*“Consolidate and protect the **areas currently zoned High Amenity (HA) or Greenbelt (GB)** along the coast of Fingal from Howth to Balbriggan (inter alia separating the coastal towns of Fingal)”.*

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 7 as 7.13 in accordance with the motion:

*“Consolidate and protect the areas currently zoned High Amenity (HA) or Greenbelt (GB) along the coast of Fingal from Howth to Balbriggan (inter alia separating the coastal towns of Fingal)”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/630/09**

**MOTION NO. 44**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Hamill, seconded by Councillor K. Dennison:

“That this Council with the co-operation of Waterways Ireland develop the canal tow path for cycling, walking and recreation areas”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No objection subject to revision, as follows:

*“That this Council seeks to implement ‘The Royal Canal - Planning and Development Strategy for Fingal Spring 2008’, prepared by Fingal County Council in association with Waterways Ireland.”*

It should be noted that Waterways Ireland has the primary role in developing the canal tow path.

**Recommendation:**

Add the following policy to Section 8 as 8.8 in accordance with the motion.

*“That this Council seeks to implement ‘The Royal Canal - Planning and Development Strategy for Fingal Spring 2008’, prepared by Fingal County Council in association with Waterways Ireland”.*

Following discussion to which Councillors Hamill, Murray and Farrell contributed the Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/631/09**

**MOTION NO. 49**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor R. Coppinger:

“Insert new objective 9.12

Ensure that a 50m set-back will be in place to prevent development on or near the coastal dune system to comply with best practice Dune Protection. That such a set-back will be marked on all relevant maps in conjunction with advice from the Bio-Diversity Officer”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is considered that a 50m setback would be unduly rigid and might not be adequate in every case. The motion is agreed in principle subject to revisions, as follows:

*“Include an objective to address appropriate minimum set backs to be put in place to prevent inappropriate development on or near the coastal dune system to comply with best practice dune protection”.*

### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 9 as 9.12:-

*“Include an objective to address appropriate minimum set backs to be put in place to prevent inappropriate development on or near the coastal dune system to comply with best practice dune protection”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/632/09**

### **MOTION NO. 51**

It was proposed by Councillor M. McKeon, seconded by Councillor D. O’Connor:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include policies and objectives to encourage and promote the use of traditional vernacular shop fronts and street signage in the historic core of towns and villages within Fingal”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection subject to revised wording:

*“Encourage and promote a high quality of design including the use of traditional vernacular shop front design approach and appropriately designed street signage in the historic core of towns and villages within Fingal”.*

### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 10 as 10.9:-

*“Encourage and promote a high quality of design including the use of traditional vernacular shop front design approach and appropriately designed street signage in the historic core of towns and villages within Fingal”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/633/09**

### **MOTION NO. 53**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor M. Waine:

“Delete 11.3 and replace with *“improve wastewater treatment provision to facilitate development within the County”.*”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study (GSDSDS) undertook a strategic analysis of the existing foul and surface water systems in the Local Authority areas of Dublin City, Fingal, South Dublin, Dun-Laoghaire – Rathdown and the adjacent catchments in Meath, Kildare and Wicklow. The GSDSDS Final Strategy Report, completed in April 2005, recommended a number of key infrastructure projects to serve the Greater Dublin Area.

In November 2006 consultants were appointed by Fingal County Council to undertake a strategic environmental assessment (SEA) of the drainage strategy developed under this study. The SEA examined the eight options put forward by the GSDSDS and a further eight options developed in the SEA process. The preferred option to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Water Framework Directive is a single regional WwTP as a single plant offers the greatest planning, procurement, engineering, cost, flexibility and future operational benefits in comparison to a series of sub-regional plants. This option includes an orbital drainage system and ancillary infrastructure throughout the region such as new pumping stations, upgraded sewers and CSO upgrades.

The future development of the Greater Dublin Region and of Fingal County Council is dependant on the implementation of this preferred strategic drainage option which will provide sufficient drainage and treatment capacity to meet the future needs of the Greater Dublin Area whilst facilitating the ongoing population and economic growth of the region.

The proposed amendment takes away the specific objective to secure the provision of a Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant which is a major component of the preferred strategic drainage option.

It is recommended that the wording of this motion be added to the existing 11.3 to read:-

*“Secure the provision of a new Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant and the implementation of other recommendations of the GSDSDS to facilitate development within the County that will serve to improve wastewater treatment provision to accommodate development within the County.”*

### **Recommendation**

Amend Section 11.3 in accordance with the motion.

*“Secure the provision of a new Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant and the implementation of other recommendations of the GSDSDS to facilitate development within the County that will serve to improve wastewater treatment provision to accommodate development”.*”

Following discussion to which Councillors Daly, Coyle, Maguire, Byrne, Dennison, O'Connor, Kelleher, Devitt and Coppinger contributed the Manager's Report was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 17 (Seventeen)  
Against: 4 (Four)  
Abstain: 0 (Zero)

For: Councillors Butler, Byrne, Coyle, Dennison, Devitt, Farrell A, Farrell K, Hamill, Kelleher, Loftus, Maher, McGuinness, McKeon, O'Brien, O'Callaghan, O' Connor and O' Leary.

Against: Councillors Coppinger, Daly, McGuire and Waine.

The Mayor declared the Manager's Recommendation **PASSED**.

**F/634/09**

#### **MOTION NO. 57**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

“To promote, as a significant opportunity for the Rural Economy, the construction and development of Wind Turbines for the sustainable production of electricity, subject to EIA and the Habitat Directive.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“It is recommended that Fingal County Council support any proposal to locate a facility for the design and/or manufacture of wind turbines within the County, subject to the availability of the appropriate and necessary infrastructure, the proposal's ability to compete on an international basis, and the compatibility of the proposed enterprise to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

It is recommended that the Motion be reworded to read as follows:-

*“Promote, as a significant opportunity for the Rural Economy, the construction and development of Wind Turbines for the sustainable production of electricity, **subject to compliance with the Council's Wind Strategy and any requirement for an EIA and the Habitat Directive.**”*

#### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 11 as 11.16 in accordance with the motion:-

*“Promote, as a significant opportunity for the Rural Economy, the construction and development of Wind Turbines for the sustainable production of electricity, subject to compliance with the Council’s Wind Strategy and any requirement for an EIA and the Habitat Directive”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**

**F/635/09**

**MOTION NO. 62**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne, seconded by Councillor A. Devitt:

“To facilitate the development of Dublin Airport infrastructure to enable direct commercial passenger air services from Dublin Airport to all the capital cities of Asia and South America.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“This motion is agreed in principle; however any proposal to extend a runway at Dublin Airport will be assessed on its merits through the development management process.

It is recommended that the motion be reworded as follows:-

*“To facilitate the development of Dublin Airport infrastructure to enable direct commercial passenger air services from Dublin Airport to **long distance destinations**.”*

**Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 12 as 12.23 in accordance with the motion:-

*“To facilitate the development of Dublin Airport infrastructure to enable direct commercial passenger air services from Dublin Airport to long distance destinations”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/636/09**

**MOTION NO. 63**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Daly, seconded by Councillor R. Coppinger:

“Reword Section 12.21 -

Provide necessary associated transport infrastructure in advance of the development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore”.

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The provision of the necessary transport infrastructure in advance of the port development is unlikely to be financially viable. There is no objection however to a requirement that the necessary transport infrastructure be provided in tandem with the development of the port and substantially completed before the port comes into operation.

Point 12.21 could be reworded to read as follows:

*Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with the necessary associated land transport infrastructure which shall be provided in tandem with the development of the port and substantially completed before the port comes into operation.*

### **Recommendation**

Amend Section 12.21 to read as follows:-

*“Facilitate the sustainable development of a new deep water port in the general vicinity of Bremore, together with the necessary associated land transport infrastructure which shall be provided in tandem with the development of the port and substantially completed before the port comes into operation”.*”

The Manager’s Report was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 17 (Seventeen)  
Against: 3 (Three)  
Abstain: 0 (Zero)

For: Councillors Butler, Byrne, Coyle, Dennison, Devitt, Hamill, Kelleher, Loftus, Maher, McGuinness, McKeon, Murray, O’Brien, O’Callaghan, O’Connor, O’ Donovan and O’Leary.

Against: Councillors Coppinger, Daly and Waine.

The Mayor declared the Manager’s Recommendation **PASSED**.

**F/637/09**

### **MOTION NO. 64**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor E. Loftus:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 investigate the feasibility of providing for commercial and emergency services integrated heli-facilities in the vicinity of Dublin Airport.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“This motion is agreed in principle. However any feasibility investigation to provide for commercial and emergency services integrated heli-facilities in the vicinity of Dublin Airport will require the involvement of the Irish Aviation Authority who are responsible for advising planning authorities in relation to aviation operational safety implications associated with particular development proposals.

### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 12 as 12.24:-

*“Investigate the feasibility of providing for commercial and emergency services integrated heli-facilities in the vicinity of Dublin Airport”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**.

**F/638/09**

### **MOTION NO. 65**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor E. Loftus:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Manager identify priority strategic infrastructure road project in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 and carry out the necessary steps to facilitate the speedy provision of these key infrastructural projects.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“No Objection subject to the motion being reworded as follows:-

*“Identify priority strategic infrastructure road project in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017.”*

### **Recommendation**

Add the following policy to Section 12 as 12.25:-

*“Identify priority strategic infrastructure road project in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017”.*”

The Manager’s Recommendation was **AGREED**:

**F/639/09**

It was proposed by Councillor C. Byrne and duly seconded that Motion Nos. 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 24, 25, 29, 36, 39, 40, 42, 45, 47, 50, 54, 55, 58, 60 and 61 be now moved and the reports circulated.

**F/640/09**

**MOTION NO. 3**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Coyle, seconded by Councillor P. Hamill:

“Amend 2.1

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Appropriate Assessment (AA) processes”

to

”*Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Appropriate Assessment (AA) and Health Impact Assessment (HIA) processes.*”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“Strategic Environmental Assessment and Appropriate Assessment have a clear basis in Irish and/or European legislation and guidance. Planning authorities are legally obliged to carry out SEA in respect of development plans and to screen such plans for Appropriate Assessment. There is no basis in Irish law for Health Impact Assessment. Environmental factors impacting on human health are assessed under the SEA process.

The schedule for preparing a development plan set out in the Planning and Development Act 2000 is a very demanding one for planning authorities. The addition of obligations in respect of Strategic Environmental Assessment and Appropriate Assessment makes the process even more onerous. The inclusion of a requirement to carry out a Health Impact Assessment while this demanding process is underway would place an impossible burden on planning staff.

Consideration is nevertheless being given to the feasibility of carrying out a pilot Health Impact Assessment, probably focussed on a Local Area Plan.

**Recommendation:**

No Change.”

Following discussion to which Councillors Coppinger, Coyle, Devitt, Loftus, and Byrne contributed the Motion was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 19 (Nineteen)

Against: 1 (One)

Abstain: 0 (Zero)

For: Councillors Butler, Coppinger, Coyle, Daly, Dennison, Devitt, Farrell K, Hamill, Kelleher, Loftus, Maher, McGuinness, McKeon, Murray, O’Brien, O’Callaghan, O’Connor, O’Leary and Waine.

Against: Councillor Byrne.

Following the result of the roll call vote, the Manager advised the members that notwithstanding their decision to pass the Motion the Manager's Report had detailed the difficulties in carrying out a Health Impact Assessment on the Draft Development Plan as such an assessment had no legal basis. The Manager advised the members that he would therefore be unable to adhere to the terms of the Motion.

The Mayor declared the Motion **PASSED**.

**F/641/09**

**MOTION NO. 7**

It was **AGREED** to defer consideration of Motion No. 7 in the name of Councillor C. O'Callaghan until later in the meeting.

“That the Manager will promote sustainable development in the Development Plan by:

1. Prohibiting green washing – permission for wind turbines etc. will only be granted where it is established that they will contribute positively to the environment
2. Implementing standards for street lighting to ensure they only use compact fluorescent lamps
3. Encouraging solar design to reduce energy consumption levels
4. Including drying space as a mandatory component of all new homes
5. Drawing up a list of building materials which will be prohibited due to their negative impacts on the environment and ensuring that timber is only used when drawn from sustainable sources
6. Ensuring that insulation materials have a green house gas potential of less than 5
7. Requiring that adaptability is incorporated into design of housing schemes to ensure that people can live there throughout the various stages of their lives.”

**F/642/09**

**MOTION NO. 9**

It was **NOTED** that the following Motion in the name of Councillor D. Butler was **WITHDRAWN**:

“That the Manager ensures as part of the Development Plan that there is a significant increase in the number and percentage (as a percentage of all new developments) of detached 4 and 5 bedroom homes built in all parts of Fingal, including urban areas.”

**F/643/09**

**MOTION NO. 10**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Hamill, seconded by Councillor M. Murray:

“That this Council take into consideration that the most preferred type of housing, in particular for families, is a two storey with front and back garden.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The Draft Development Plan will seek the provision of a range of house types to suit the different needs of the population and the different demographics including those of families.

**Recommendation:**

No Change.”

The Manager emphasised that the requirement for apartments, if we continue to develop to sustainable standards will require a proportion of apartment provision. He stated that the concern of the Council might be usefully directed towards the setting of adequate living space standards, including access to private open space - applicable to houses and apartments alike - to address the Members' concerns in this regard as sub-standard living spaces provision was equally a concern for both housing types. The Written Statement would include provision to this effect.

The Motion was **AGREED**.

**F/644/09**

**MOTION NO. 11**

It was proposed by Councillor P. Hamill, seconded by Councillor G. McGuire:

“That this Council takes notice of some of the problems resulting from Apartment type dwellings

- A. Where the kitchen area is directly over the living space or bedroom of the occupant underneath
- B. Lack of storage space for bicycles, prams, buggies etc.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“A. Matters of noise travelling from one floor to another are largely a building control matter. Part E of the Building Regulations is the relevant section for noise and the technical guidance indicates that the types of sound to be considered are airborne and impact sounds transmitted directly or indirectly. The Building Regulations require that the floor shall have reasonable resistance to both impact and airborne sound. The technical guidance document notes that workmanship plays an important part in achieving the standard.

In addition, it is usual to have an item in the head lease for apartments about floor coverings to restrict owners making changes e.g. putting in timber floors that might have a negative impact with regard to their neighbours below.

These matters are best dealt with by the relevant legislation.

B. Since September 2007, when the Guidelines for Design Standards for New Apartments were published, minimum storage space requirements for apartments were introduced. Standards are also included in the Department's document Quality Housing for Sustainable Developments. The Development Plan will refer to the need to adhere to these standards as a minimum.

Following a contribution from Cllr. Dennison regarding the issue of standards for Noise Transmission between dwelling units to the effect that the Council might take a proactive position on requiring standards in excess of those laid down in the current Building Regulations, the Manager undertook to look into this and to report by way of means that might be adopted to those ends at the next stage of the Manager's Report of the Development Plan process.

**Recommendation:**

No Change.”

The Motion was **AGREED**.

**F/645/09**

**MOTION NO. 12**

It was **NOTED** that the following Motion in the name of Councillor D. O'Connor was **WITHDRAWN**:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Manager examine the future of caravan parks within the County and provide suitable policies and objectives for their redevelopment in the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017.”

**F/646/09**

**MOTION NO. 14**

It was **NOTED** that the following Motion in the name of Councillor A. Devitt was **WITHDRAWN**:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 does not include residential density caps for lands within the Swords development boundary in order to maximize the potential of Metro North.”

**F/647/09**

**MOTION NO. 15**

It was proposed by Councillor A. Devitt, seconded by Councillor M. McKeon:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include the following strategic objective ‘*to provide employment uses in the vicinity of the Metro West Corridor.*”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“There is a substantial quantum of land currently zoned in the vicinity of the Metro West Corridor which will provide for employment uses and it is considered appropriate to encourage employment uses within these lands. The issue of what zonings might be appropriate around Metro West stations will be considered at the Draft Plan stage of the development plan process.

**Recommendation:**

No Change.”

The Motion was **AGREED**.

**F/648/09**

**MOTION NO. 16**

It was **NOTED** that the following Motion in the name of Councillor M. McKeon was **WITHDRAWN**:

“Fingal County Council resolves that the Draft Fingal Development Plan 2011-2017 include the following Zoning Objective ‘REC’ – ‘Rail Economic Corridor’: ‘*to facilitate opportunities for mixed use employment generating activity and associated ancillary commercial and residential development within the Rail-Economic-Corridor.*”

**F/649/09**

**MOTION NO. 24**

It was proposed by Councillor C. O Callaghan, seconded by Councillor T. Kelleher:

“That the Manager will protect local jobs by including in the Development Plan measures to ensure that casual trading and markets complement rather than compete with local services.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“Fingal County Council supports through the development plan process, farmers’ markets that enable locally produced produce to be sold in a sustainable manner to the local community. It is recognised that unlicensed casual trading can have a detrimental impact on established retail premises in an area. The Council will look at ways of addressing this issue.

**Recommendation**

No Change.”

Following discussion to which Councillors O’Callaghan, Byrne, Farrell A., Maher and McGuire contributed it was agreed to amend the motion by the addition of the word “licensed” before the words “casual trading” and the deletion of the words “rather than compete with”.

The Motion, as amended, was **AGREED**.

**F/650/09**

**MOTION NO. 25**

It was proposed by Councillor C. O’Callaghan, seconded by Councillor T. Kelleher:

“That the Manager will recognize the adverse impact on traffic, the environment and quality of life that a lack of petrol stations cause by addressing this issue in the Development Plan.”

The following report by the Manager, which had been circulated, was **READ**:

“The zoning matrix will be sufficiently flexible to facilitate petrol filling stations in urban areas. The economic downturn should reduce pressures for redeveloping petrol filling stations and it is considered that the current zoning matrix is sufficient to address this problem.

**Recommendation**

No Change.”

Following discussion to which Councillors O ‘Callaghan, O’Connor, Murray, Daly and Devitt contributed the Motion was put and on a division the voting resulted as follows:

For: 15 (Fifteen)  
Against: 1 (One)  
Abstain: 3 (Three)

For: Councillors Butler, Byrne, Coppinger, Daly, Devitt, Hamill, Kelleher, McGuinness, McGuire, McKeon, Murray, O’Brien, O’Callaghan, O’Leary, and Waine.

Against: Councillor Dennison.

Abstain: Councillors Farrell A., Loftus and Maher.

The Mayor declared the Motion **PASSED**.

The meeting adjourned at 6.45 pm.

---

**Mayor**

---

**Date**